

Racism Does Not Cause Prostate Cancer, It Causes Prostate Cancer Death

Andrew J. Vickers, PhD¹; Brandon Mahal, MD²; and Olorunseun O. Ogunwobi, MBBS, PhD³

Race and Prostate Cancer Outcomes

One of the most prominent findings in prostate cancer epidemiology is higher risk for men self-identifying as Black race, with a larger relative difference for mortality (per 100,000: 38.7 v 18.0 for non-Hispanic white Americans; relative risk, 2.15) versus incidence (173.0 v 97.1; relative risk, 1.78).¹ There are four major possible explanations.

1. Genetics: A genetic mutation in the Black population that increases the risk of either prostate cancer in general or aggressive prostate cancer more specifically.
2. Behavior: Racial differences in lifestyle factors such as diet or exercise.
3. Direct effects of racism: Factors such as the social stress of discrimination in everyday life or discriminatory medical treatment at the point of care.
4. Indirect effects of racism: Factors such as poorer access to care for Black men because of socioeconomic factors.

We can first discount explanation (2). Even if we assume that some lifestyle exposures are causally linked to prostate cancer, which has not been firmly established, the effect sizes and differences between populations are far too small to have any explanatory value. Take an exposure, such as a dietary influence, with an overall prevalence of 35%. If we assume that the exposure increases risk by about 10%—what seems to be at the higher end of the estimate for the link between lifestyle factors and prostate cancer^{2,3}—and is as much as 50% more common in Black men, this would lead to only about a 1.5% difference in incidence, compared with the 75% difference we actually see.

The question remaining is, therefore, how much of the difference in mortality and incidence we should attribute to explanation (1) genetics versus explanations (3) and (4), the combined effects of racism. We will take each of mortality and incidence in turn.

Race and Prostate Cancer Mortality

There is clear and compelling evidence that racism strongly influences a man's risk of death after he develops prostate cancer. Black American patients receive

poorer care than white patients, and, moreover, mortality differences generally disappear after adjusting for access to care. For instance, survival in the United States is worse in Black men diagnosed with low-grade prostate cancer (adjusted hazard ratio, 1.95; 95% CI, 1.42 to 2.67), but not with high-grade disease (hazard ratio, 1.01; 95% CI, 0.87 to 1.16; $P < .001$ for interaction). This is clearly indicative of disparities in care because true low-grade disease is not associated with mortality, suggesting under-ascertainment of high-grade disease in Black men.⁴ There is direct evidence that Black men do not get standard-of-care diagnostic workup, with rates of magnetic resonance imaging–guided prostate biopsy being approximately half those of white men.⁵ There is also compelling evidence that lower socioeconomic status in Black men and disparities in treatment are important drivers of observed differences in survival.⁶ Critically, even after adjusting for sociodemographic factors and disease characteristics, Black patients are less likely to receive surgery or radiotherapy (adjusted odds ratio, 0.60; 95% CI, 0.56 to 0.64; $P < .001$). Moreover, although curative therapy is less common in Black men both among insured and uninsured patients, the difference is greater in the latter.⁷

Such differences disappear when studying men receiving equivalent care and in equal access settings. For instance, Dess et al⁸ compared a population-based cohort with patients receiving care in two equal access settings, the Veteran's Administration and National Cancer Institute randomized trials. After adjusting for demographic characteristics (such as socioeconomic status) and oncologic risk (stage, grade, and prostate-specific antigen), excess mortality was seen for Black men in the population-based cohort but not in the equal access Veteran's Administration and National Cancer Institute cohorts. Similarly, excess mortality in Black men is not seen in prostate cancer clinical trials, where care is determined by protocol, including in studies of chemotherapy,⁹ radiopharmaceuticals,¹⁰ and hormonal therapies.¹¹

In this respect, prostate cancer survival is like numerous other areas of medicine, where we can directly attribute racial differences in outcomes to racial disparities in care, a consequence of the direct and indirect effects of racism.

Author affiliations and support information (if applicable) appear at the end of this article.

Accepted on December 15, 2022 and published at ascopubs.org/journal/jco on January 24, 2023; DOI <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.22.02203>

© 2023 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

TABLE 1. Summary of Arguments Why Racial Differences in Prostate Cancer Incidence Are Largely Due to Genetic Factors Rather Than the Direct or Indirect Effects of Racism

Argument	Evidence
Racism cannot affect the prostate uniquely	If prostate cancer is excluded, overall cancer rates are lower in Black v white Americans One example: cancer of the bladder, which sits directly above the prostate, is twice as common in white Americans
No plausible mechanism for racism-induced prostate cancer	Clear preponderance of evidence that psychosocial stress does not increase the risk of cancer Stress-related behaviors that cause some cancers, such as smoking and drinking, are not associated with prostate cancer risk Diet and exercise could explain, at best, only a small fraction of the observed racial differences in incidence Prostate cancer—compared with cervical or colorectal cancer—is not medically preventable
Prostate cancer, but not racism, varies by geographical origin in Africa	Prostate cancer rates vary between recent US immigrants from West v East Africa West African immigrants have rates similar to African American population East African immigrants have rates similar to US white population
Direct evidence of genetic risk factors that are correlated with self-identified race	Men of African ancestry have a high incidence of genetic variants associated with prostate cancer Generic mutations associated with prostate cancer have been identified that are only found in men of West African ancestry, a group that includes the majority of US Black men

Race and Prostate Cancer Incidence

The effects of racism are also asserted to be strongly explanatory for differences in prostate cancer incidence. One recent review claimed that “critical influencers of prostate cancer incidence [include the] physiological response to psychosocial stressors, such as racism and segregation.”¹² An empirical study of racial differences in prostate cancer incidence concluded that further research should examine how “perceived racism and social stress ... contribute to high-risk prostate cancer,”^{13(p411)} hypothesizing that an important cause is the “experience of racism through individual- and neighborhood-level social stressors across the life course that ‘get under the skin.’”^{13(p409)} Other researchers have even proposed specific molecular mechanisms whereby psychosocial stress caused by racism, or indirectly related to racism via low socioeconomic status, increases the risk of prostate cancer.¹⁴

These speculations are intuitively appealing because they mirror established science on racial disparities in other diseases. For instance, psychosocial stress is known to influence cardiovascular disease,¹⁵ and racial differences in the incidence of cardiovascular disease can be directly attributed to higher levels of psychosocial stress experienced by Black Americans, starting in pregnancy.¹⁶ However, cardiovascular disease and cancer are etiologically distinct, and a causal association between racism and prostate cancer incidence is directly contradicted by several findings (summarized in Table 1). First, age-adjusted rates of cancers other than prostate cancer are actually lower in Black compared with white Americans: about 280 per 100,000 versus approximately 365.¹ Part of this difference, around 20 cases per 100,000, is due to melanoma, which is rare in Black Americans and part of the difference likely due to overdiagnosis of several cancers in

the white population. Nonetheless, cancer is not generally more prevalent in Black Americans and so it would remain to be explained why psychosocial stressors, such as racism and segregation, seem so specifically to target the prostate. It is notable, for instance, that cancer of the bladder, the organ immediately proximal to the prostate, is about half as common in Americans who identify as Black.¹⁷ Second, best evidence suggests that psychosocial stress does not directly cause cancer, and although it can lead to behaviors, such as smoking and drinking, that raise cancer risk,^{18,19} prostate cancer is not importantly influenced by these exposures.^{20,21} Moreover, unlike cervical or colon cancer, prostate cancer is not preventable if caught at a premalignant state and hence limited access to medical care cannot affect prostate cancer risk.

An epidemiologic finding of particular importance is that prostate cancer varies within the Black population.¹⁷ Recent immigrants from East Africa have very similar age-adjusted rates of prostate cancer mortality to white Americans (19.3 v 17.8 per 100,000), whereas immigrants from West and Central Africa have rates closer to the African American population (32.7 v 41.0 per 100,000). These data are hard to explain under the hypothesis of a carcinogenic effect of racism. There is no reason to believe that racism is experienced differently depending on geographical origin in men who appear Black, and there is no evidence that secondary effects of racism, such as depressed socioeconomic status, differ by West versus East African ancestry.²² Although the end point here is mortality, not incidence, clearly large differences in one imply large differences in the other. The mortality end point is, incidentally, a possible explanation for the difference between African American population and recent immigrants from West and Central Africa: Mortality is affected by medical care, and African immigrants generally

have higher socioeconomic status and thus access to good medical care than the African American population.²²

There is also direct evidence to support the hypothesis that genetics is the major cause of increased prostate cancer risk in Black men. A transancestry genome-wide association study identified a total of 269 prostate cancer variants, of which 71 had an odds ratio of at least 1.1. These were more common in men of African compared with European ancestry.²³ For instance, a variant near the microsatellite marker *DG8S737* is associated with an increased risk of prostate cancer across racial groups but is more common in Black men (30% v 13% for white men²⁴). Prostate cancer risk variants have been reported that are only found in men of African ancestry, including at *8q24*²⁵ and *HOXB13*,²⁶ loci that have been strongly associated with prostate cancer.^{27,28} This last variant, *rs77179853*, is particularly interesting because it is found only in West Africa—where most Black Americans trace their ancestry because of the slave trade—with zero prevalence in East and South Africa. These germline changes have downstream consequences for tumor biology. Although comparison of somatic genomes by race is methodologically challenging, because of possible confounding by racial differences in cancer stage, there is good evidence that men of African ancestry have a greater number of acquired genetic alterations in their tumors than

men of European ancestry, and African ancestry-specific genomic subtypes of prostate cancer have been identified.²⁹ There are racial differences in the frequency of SPOP mutations,³⁰ *TMPRSS2-ERG* fusions,^{30,31} and expression of *GSTP1*³² and long non-coding RNAs such as *PVT1*,³³ *PCAT1* and *PCAT10/CTBP1-AS*.³⁰

Hence, there is clear evidence that from both epidemiologic and genetic studies that the primary cause of increased incidence of prostate cancer in Black men is genetic.

In conclusion, the best evidence is that racism does not cause prostate cells to become cancers, but once that malignant transformation takes place, the effects of racism influence the chances the patient will die as a result.

Despite our title, we are not trying to be absolutist. It is entirely plausible that germline genetic differences in the Black population explain a small part of differences in prostate cancer survival and, comparably, that direct and indirect effects of racism do have a minor impact on carcinogenesis. But to best address racial differences in prostate outcomes, we have to know where to focus and what to prioritize. Understanding the distinction between carcinogenesis and survival will best help both research into prostate cancer disparities and practical efforts to alleviate those disparities.

AFFILIATIONS

¹Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY

²Department of Radiation Oncology, Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Miami, Miami, FL

³Hunter College Center for Cancer Health Disparities Research, Hunter College, City University of New York, NY

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

Andrew J. Vickers, PhD, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, 633 Third Ave, New York, NY 10017; e-mail: vickersa@mskcc.org.

SUPPORT

Supported in part by the National Institutes of Health/National Cancer Institute (NIH/NCI) with a Cancer Center Support Grant to Memorial

Sloan Kettering Cancer Center (P30 CA008748), a SPORE grant in Prostate Cancer to Dr H. Scher (P50-CA92629), the Sidney Kimmel Center for Prostate and Urologic Cancers and David H. Koch through the Prostate Cancer Foundation.

AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Disclosures provided by the authors are available with this article at DOI <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.22.02203>.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conception and design: Andrew J. Vickers

Data analysis and interpretation: All authors

Manuscript writing: All authors

Final approval of manuscript: All authors

Accountable for all aspects of the work: All authors

REFERENCES

1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Fuchs HE, et al: Cancer statistics, 2022. *CA Cancer J Clin* 72:7-33, 2022
2. Er V, Lane JA, Martin RM, et al: Adherence to dietary and lifestyle recommendations and prostate cancer risk in the prostate testing for cancer and treatment (ProtecT) trial. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 23:2066-2077, 2014
3. Perez-Cornago A, Travis RC, Appleby PN, et al: Fruit and vegetable intake and prostate cancer risk in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). *Int J Cancer* 141:287-297, 2017
4. Mahal BA, Berman RA, Taplin ME, et al: Prostate cancer-specific mortality across Gleason scores in black vs nonblack men. *JAMA* 320:2479-2481, 2018
5. Rosenkrantz AB, Hemingway J, Hughes DR, et al: Evolving use of prebiopsy prostate magnetic resonance imaging in the Medicare population. *J Urol* 200: 89-94, 2018
6. Riviere P, Luterstein E, Kumar A, et al: Survival of African American and non-Hispanic white men with prostate cancer in an equal-access health care system. *Cancer* 126:1683-1690, 2020
7. Mahal BA, Ziehr DR, Aizer AA, et al: Getting back to equal: The influence of insurance status on racial disparities in the treatment of African American men with high-risk prostate cancer. *Urol Oncol* 32:1285-1291, 2014
8. Dess RT, Hartman HE, Mahal BA, et al: Association of black race with prostate cancer-specific and other-cause mortality. *JAMA Oncol* 5:975-983, 2019

9. Halabi S, Dutta S, Tangen CM, et al: Overall survival of black and white men with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer treated with docetaxel. *J Clin Oncol* 37:403-410, 2019
10. Zhao H, Howard LE, De Hoedt A, et al: Racial discrepancies in overall survival among men treated with (223)Radium. *J Urol* 203:331-337, 2020
11. George DJ, Heath EI, Sartor AO, et al: Abi Race: A prospective, multicenter study of black (B) and white (W) patients (pts) with metastatic castrate resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) treated with abiraterone acetate and prednisone (AAP). *J Clin Oncol* 36, 2018 (18_suppl; abstr LBA5009)
12. Lowder D, Rizwan K, McColl C, et al: Racial disparities in prostate cancer: A complex interplay between socioeconomic inequities and genomics. *Cancer Lett* 531:71-82, 2022
13. Press DJ, Shariff-Marco S, Lichtensztajn DY, et al: Contributions of social factors to disparities in prostate cancer risk profiles among black men and non-Hispanic white men with prostate cancer in California. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 31:404-412, 2022
14. Woods-Burnham L, Stiel L, Martinez SR, et al: Psychosocial stress, glucocorticoid signaling, and prostate cancer health disparities in African American men. *Cancer Health Disparities* 4, 2020
15. Dar T, Radfar A, Abohashem S, et al: Psychosocial stress and cardiovascular disease. *Curr Treat Options Cardiovasc Med* 21:23, 2019
16. Kuzawa CW, Sweet E: Epigenetics and the embodiment of race: Developmental origins of US racial disparities in cardiovascular health. *Am J Hum Biol* 21:2-15, 2009
17. Schinkel JK, Shao S, Zahm SH, et al: Overall and recurrence-free survival among black and white bladder cancer patients in an equal-access health system. *Cancer Epidemiol* 42:154-158, 2016
18. Tian F, Fang F, Shen Q, et al: Stress-related disorders and subsequent cancer risk and mortality: A population-based and sibling-controlled cohort study in Sweden. *Eur J Epidemiol* 37:947-958, 2022
19. Li J, Johansen C, Hansen D, et al: Cancer incidence in parents who lost a child: A nationwide study in Denmark. *Cancer* 95:2237-2242, 2002
20. Jochems SHJ, Fritz J, Haggstrom C, et al: Smoking and risk of prostate cancer and prostate cancer death: A pooled study. *Eur Urol* [10.1016/j.eururo.2022.03.033](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2022.03.033) [epub ahead of print on May 4, 2022]
21. Downer MK, Kenfield SA, Stampfer MJ, et al: Alcohol intake and risk of lethal prostate cancer in the health professionals follow-up study. *J Clin Oncol* 37:1499-1511, 2019
22. Kusow AM, Kimuna SR, Corra M: Socioeconomic diversity among African immigrants in the United States: An intra-African immigrant comparison. *J Int Migrat Integrat* 17:115-130, 2016
23. Conti DV, Darst BF, Moss LC, et al: Trans-ancestry genome-wide association meta-analysis of prostate cancer identifies new susceptibility loci and informs genetic risk prediction. *Nat Genet* 53:65-75, 2021
24. Amundadottir LT, Sulem P, Gudmundsson J, et al: A common variant associated with prostate cancer in European and African populations. *Nat Genet* 38:652-658, 2006
25. Darst BF, Wan P, Sheng X, et al: A germline variant at 8q24 contributes to familial clustering of prostate cancer in men of African ancestry. *Eur Urol* 78:316-320, 2020
26. Darst BF, Hughley R, Pfennig A, et al: A rare germline HOXB13 variant contributes to risk of prostate cancer in men of African ancestry. *Eur Urol* 81:458-462, 2022
27. Walavalkar K, Saravanan B, Singh AK, et al: A rare variant of African ancestry activates 8q24 lncRNA hub by modulating cancer associated enhancer. *Nat Commun* 11:3598, 2020
28. Shang Z, Zhu S, Zhang H, et al: Germline homeobox B13 (HOXB13) G84E mutation and prostate cancer risk in European descendants: A meta-analysis of 24, 213 cases and 73, 631 controls. *Eur Urol* 64:173-176, 2013
29. Jaratlerdsiri W, Jiang J, Gong T, et al: African-specific molecular taxonomy of prostate cancer. *Nature* 609:552-559, 2022
30. Yuan J, Kensler KH, Hu Z, et al: Integrative comparison of the genomic and transcriptomic landscape between prostate cancer patients of predominantly African or European genetic ancestry. *PLoS Genet* 16:e1008641, 2020
31. Magi-Galluzzi C, Tsusuki T, Elson P, et al: TMPRSS2-ERG gene fusion prevalence and class are significantly different in prostate cancer of Caucasian, African-American and Japanese patients. *Prostate* 71:489-497, 2011
32. Vidal I, Zheng Q, Hicks JL, et al: GSTP1 positive prostatic adenocarcinomas are more common in Black than White men in the United States. *PLoS One* 16:e0241934, 2021
33. Pal G, Huaman J, Levine F, et al: Long noncoding RNA from PVT1 exon 9 is overexpressed in prostate cancer and induces malignant transformation and castration resistance in prostate epithelial cells. *Genes (Basel)* 10:964, 2019



AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Racism Does Not Cause Prostate Cancer, It Causes Prostate Cancer Death

The following represents disclosure information provided by authors of this manuscript. All relationships are considered compensated unless otherwise noted. Relationships are self-held unless noted. I = Immediate Family Member, Inst = My Institution. Relationships may not relate to the subject matter of this manuscript. For more information about ASCO's conflict of interest policy, please refer to www.asco.org/rwc or ascopubs.org/jco/authors/author-center.

Open Payments is a public database containing information reported by companies about payments made to US-licensed physicians ([Open Payments](#)).

Andrew J. Vickers

Stock and Other Ownership Interests: OPKO Health

Consulting or Advisory Role: OPKO Diagnostics, Insightec, Steba Biotech

Patents, Royalties, Other Intellectual Property: I am named on a patent for a statistical method to detect prostate cancer. This method has been commercialized by Opko as the "4Kscore." I receive royalties from sales of the 4Kscore

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: OPKO Health

Brandon Mahal

Honoraria: Cancer Study Group

Speakers' Bureau: Myovant Sciences

Other Relationship: Prostate Cancer Foundation, Department of Defense-Prostate Cancer Research Program, American Society for Radiation Oncology

Olorunseun O. Ogunwobi

Stock and Other Ownership Interests: NucleoBio Inc, UTR Therapeutics Inc

Patents, Royalties, Other Intellectual Property: Dr Olorunseun Ogunwobi is a named inventor on patents issued for biotechnology inventions from research on the PVT1 non-protein coding gene (Inst)

Other Relationship: NucleoBio Inc, UTR Therapeutics Inc

No other potential conflicts of interest were reported.